#### **MCDR INSPECTION REPORT**

# <u>General</u>

| S.N. | Particulars  | Details   |
|------|--|---|
| 1    | Name of inspecting office & designation,<br>Accompanying officer   | Shri Rajesh Kumar Das (Senior ACOM)<br>Shri Rudra n Mishra (Senior Mining<br>Geologist),Shri Vinayak Tiwari   |
| 2    | Date of inspection   | 02.11.19  |
| 3    | Name of the Mine   | Bailadila Iron Ore Mines, Kirandul<br>Complex, DEP-14 NMZ ML  |
| 4    | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-<br>forest and forest land                                       | Forest Land: 506.742 HA,<br>Non forest Land : NIL   |
| 5    | Mine code  | 30MPR02002  |
| 6    | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR,1988   | IBM/270/2011  |
| 7    | Name of the Lease, Address, Phone, email and fax number  | NMDC Ltd, Masab Tank, Hyderabad,<br>PIN-500028, Telangana.<br>pksatpathy@nmdc.co.in<br>Fax no: 040-27172569,Phone 040-23538713-<br>21,23538723 & 23538767   |
| 8    | Village  | Kirandul  |
| 9    | Taluka/Mandal, District  | Bade Bacheli, Dantewada   |
| 10   | State  | Chhattisgarh  |
| 11   | Post office & PIN  | Kirandul, 494556  |
| 12   | Nearest Police Station   | Kirandul  |
| 13   | Nearest Railway Station  | Kirandul  |
| 14   | Date of Grant of Mining Lease  | 20/04/1965  |
| 15   | Date of Execution  | 07/12/1965  |
| 16   | Date of opening of Mine  | In the year 1987  |
| 17   | Date of First Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry   | 07/12/1995, 20Years, Expiry-06/12/2015  |
| 18   | Date of Second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry  | Lease Executed from:07/12/2015 to 31.03.2020<br>Further, in the provision of as per Rule 8A (6)of<br>MMDR (Amendment) Act, 2015 Lease has been<br>extended upto 31.03.2020.                                 |
| 19   | Date of submission of renewal application if<br>Mining Operations are continuing under<br>deemed extension | Form J submitted on 05-12-2013 for lease renewal  |
| 20   | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address,<br>phone, email, fax number and date of<br>appointment           | Shri P.K.Satpathy Director (Prodn.),<br>NMDC Ltd, Masab Tank,<br>Hyderabad, PIN-500028, Telangana.<br>pksatpathy@nmdc.co.in<br>Fax no: 040-27172569,<br>Phone No:040- 23538701, 23538704.<br>DOA:13/12/2014 |
| 21   | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone,<br>email, fax number and date of appointment                   | Shri A.K.Prajapati, General Manager,<br>NMDC Ltd, Kirandul, B.I.O.M.<br>Kirandul Complex, Dantewada<br>PIN-494556, Chhattisgarh,<br>akprajapati@nmdc.co.in,Fax no: 07857-255227,<br>Phone 07857-255222      |

|    |  | DOA:30/09/2018   |
|----|--|--|
| 22 | Name of the Mine Manager with Address,<br>phone, email, fax number and date of<br>appointment in mines   | Shri Vinay Kumar, Jt.General Manager,<br>NMDC Ltd, Kirandul, B.I.O.M.<br>Kirandul Complex, Dantewada<br>PIN-494556, Chhattisgarh,<br>Fax no: 07857-255226, Phone 07857-255232<br>DOA:30/09/2018                              |
| 23 | Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification<br>and total experience with address, phone, email,<br>fax number and date of appointment in the mine     | Shri Randhir Kumar, Dy.General<br>Manager, B.Tech (Mining), FCC (R),<br>Experience: 21 Years Approximately<br>NMDC Ltd, Kirandul, B.I.O.M.<br>Kirandul Complex, Dantewada<br>PIN-494556, Chhattisgarh,<br>DOA:03/05/2017     |
| 24 | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer<br>appointed in mines satisfy the rule 55 &<br>carrying out their duties as per rule 56 & 57 of<br>MCDR, 2017. | Yes, appointed under Rule-55 and carrying out duties as per rule 56 & 57 of MCDR-2017.   |
| 25 | Date of Approval of Mine Plan/Modified Mine<br>Plan with five- year period and specific<br>condition in approval letter, if any.                     | Vide Letter No: 314(3)/95 MCCM (c) /MP-6,<br>Dated: 08.02.1996.<br>Vide Letter No: 314(3)/2005 MCCM (cz) /MP-31,<br>Dated: 01.04.2006.<br>Vide Letter No: BST/FE/MPLN-<br>434/NGP/2015/08-RAIPUR-II/265,<br>Dated:05.08.2016 |
| 26 | Date of Approval of Scheme of<br>Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five-<br>year period and specific condition in approval<br>letter, if any.    | Vide Letter No: 314(3)/2002 - MCCM (C) /MP-5,<br>Dated:02.09.2003<br>Vide Letter No: 314(3)/2006 - MCCM (CZ) /MP-<br>6, Dated:27.03.2007<br>Vide Letter No: 314(3)/2010 - MCCM (CZ) /S-<br>22/51, Dated:18.01.2011           |
| 27 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and approved for<br>Mining   | Hematite   |
| 28 | Method of Mining (Opencast, Underground)   | Opencast   |
| 29 | Category (Fully Mechanised, Others or Manual)  | Fully Mechanised   |
| 30 | Captive/Non-Captive  | Non-Captive  |

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposal of approved mining plan/scheme of mining.

# **1.0 Exploration**

| S.N. | Item                             | Proposals               | Actual Work             | Remarks |
|------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| 1a   | Backlog of previous year         | NIL                     | NIL                     |         |
| 1b   | Exploration over lease area for  | 10BH/720 Mts            | 14BH/1195 Mts           |         |
|      | Geological axis 1 or 2.          |                         |                         |         |
| 1c   | Exploration Agency &             | Applicant itself is the | Applicant itself is the |         |
|      | Expenditure in Lakh Rupees       | exploration Agency.     | exploration Agency.     |         |
|      | during the year                  |                         | Expenditure during      |         |
|      |                                  |                         | 2018-19:126.80 Lakh     |         |
| 1d   | Balance area to be explored to   | G3 level = 28.011 Ha    | G3 level = 28.011 Ha    |         |
|      | bring Geological axis in 1 or 2. | G4 level = 42.066 Ha    | G4 level = 42.066 Ha    |         |
|      |                                  | Non mineralised area =  | Non mineralised area =  |         |
|      |                                  | 319.891 Ha              | 319.891 Ha              |         |

| 1e | Balance reserves as or          |  | As on                 |  |
|----|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
|    | 01.04.2019                      | Code Quantity Code Quantity                                      | ity 01.04.2019        |  |
|    |                                 | A. Mineral A. Mineral  |                       |  |
|    |                                 | Reserve  |                       |  |
|    |                                 | 111 136.67 111 142   | .76                   |  |
|    |                                 | 122 15.36 122 15.  | 36                    |  |
|    |                                 |  |                       |  |
| 1f | General remarks of Inspecting   | As mentioned above the balance area for e                        | xploration. Bailadila |  |
|    | officer on geology, exploration |  |                       |  |
|    | etc.                            | Dongagarh orogen of Bastar craton known as Bailadila group.      |                       |  |
|    |                                 | The Strike direction of Bailadila group are N-S trending and dip |                       |  |
|    |                                 | direction NW to NE subvertical folded                            | meta sedimentary      |  |
|    |                                 | bedded formations.   |                       |  |

# 2.0 Development

| S.N. | Item  | Proposals  | Actual Work     | Remarks      |
|------|---|--|-----------------|--------------|
| 2a   | Location of Development w.r.t. lease area           | CS 3 to CS17,W                                   | Development is  |              |
|      |   | 300 M to E500                                    | broadly within  |              |
|      |   | М  | proposed area   |              |
| 2b   | Separate benches in topsoil overburden              | Top soil is not                                  | Top soil is not |              |
|      | and mineral (Rule 13 of MCDR 2017)                  | available  | available       |              |
| 2c   | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio                  | 1:0.17   | 1.0.12          |              |
| 2d   | Quantity of topsoil generation in m <sup>3</sup>    | Top soil is not                                  | Top soil is not |              |
|      |   | available  | available       |              |
| 2e   | Quantity of overburden generation in m <sup>3</sup> | 351852   | 199689          |              |
|      |   |  |                 |              |
| 2f   | General remarks of Inspecting officer on            | The development is done broadly as per proposal. |                 | er proposal. |
|      | development of pit w.r.t type of deposit            |  |                 |              |
|      | etc.  |  |                 |              |

# 3.0 Exploitation

| S.N | Item  | Proposals  | Actual Work                          | Remarks           |
|-----|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 3a  | Number of pits proposed for production  | Pit-1  | Pit-1                                |                   |
| 3b  | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed   | 5500000 Tonne  | 4439491 Tonne                        |                   |
| 3c  | Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production  | 100%   | 100 %                                |                   |
| 3d  | Quantity of mineral reject generation   | NIL  | NIL                                  |                   |
| 3e  | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared                                   | -  | -                                    |                   |
| 3f  | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation  | 400000Tonne  | nil                                  |                   |
| 3g  | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation   | 45-55 Fe %   | 45-55 Fe %                           |                   |
| 3h  | Manual/Mechanised method adopted for segregation from ROM   | Mechanised   | Mechanised                           |                   |
| 3i  | Any analysis or beneficiation study<br>proposed & carried out for sub-grade<br>mineral and reject | No study Proposed  | No study Proposed                    |                   |
| 3j  | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches   | As per Rule 106 (2)<br>(b) MMR-1961,<br>doing drilling and<br>blasting | Broadly as per<br>proposal           |                   |
| 3k  | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches  | As per Rule 106 (2)<br>(b) MMR-1961,                                   | As per Rule 106 (2)<br>(b) MMR-1961, | List of machineri |

|    |   |  |  | es given<br>in H1 |
|----|---|--|--|-------------------|
| 31 | Whether height of benches in<br>overburden and mineral suitable for<br>method of mining proposed in<br>MP/SOM | Bench Height:12Mtr<br>and Over Burden<br>Bench Height :<br>30Mtr   | Bench Height:12Mtr<br>and Over Burden<br>Bench Height : 30Mtr  |                   |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation /pits   | 80.250 HA  | 80.250 HA  |                   |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year  | 1:0.17   | 1.0.12   |                   |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year  | 239.627HA  | 239.627 HA   |                   |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during last five-years period, as Applicable  | 2014-15: 5500000 Tonne<br>2015-16: 5500000 Tonne<br>2016-17: 5500000 Tonne<br>2017-18: 5500000 Tonne<br>2018-19: 5500000 Tonne | 2014-15: 3695030 Tonne<br>2015-16: 1840275 Tonne<br>2016-17: 4963875 Tonne<br>2017-18: 4672228 Tonne<br>2018-19: 4439491 Tonne |                   |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer<br>on method of mining etc  |  | ng is broadly as per p<br>I and deep hole drilling and   |                   |

## 4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| S.N. | Item   | Proposals  | Actual Work                       | Remarks   |
|------|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| 4a   | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 36,37 of MCDR 2017) | OB : 01 NOS  | OB : 01 NOS                       |   |
| 4b   | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject<br>dumps                          | SW of Deposit, CS<br>14 to CS18, -300 to<br>-700 w.r.t CAL | Not as per proposal               |   |
| 4c   | Number of Dumps within lease area and<br>outside lease area                | 1 NOSWithin lease  | 1 NOSin 11C<br>dump with in lease | Common<br>boundary<br>permission<br>is taken<br>under<br>Rule 111<br>of MCR-<br>1961 but<br>proposal is<br>given with<br>in lease of<br>14 NMZ. |
| 4d   | Location of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit<br>(Rule 14 of MCDR 2017)      | Out Side UPL   | Out Side UPL                      |   |
| 4e   | Number of Active & Alive dumps   | Active & Alive : 1<br>NOS                                  | Active & Alive : 1<br>NOS in 11C  |   |
| 4f   | Number of dead dumps   | Not available  | Not available                     |   |
| 4g   | Number of dumps stabilized   | NIL  | NIL                               |   |
| 4h   | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there          | Proposed   | Broadly as per<br>proposal        |   |
| 4i   | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain<br>all along dump                | Not mentioned<br>specifically about<br>length              | 1000m                             | De-silting<br>of existing<br>garland<br>drain near<br>dump and<br>working<br>area   |
| 4j   | Number of settling ponds   | Not proposed   | 02                                |   |
| 4k   | Specific commends of inspecting officer                                    | The waste dumping  | is not as per proposa             | l and it was  |

| on waste dump management | again in corporate in review of mining plan to dump |
|--------------------------|---|
|                          | within lease area as per demarcated area.           |

#### 5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N.  | Item   | Proposals       | Actual Work        | Remarks |
|-------|--|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| 5a    | Status on part or full extraction of mineral |                 |                    |         |
|       | from mined out area before starting          | Back Filling    | Back Filling       |         |
|       | backfilling                                  | not proposed    | not proposed       |         |
| 5b    | Area under backfilling of mined out area     | Back Filling    | Back Filling       |         |
|       |  | not proposed    | not proposed       |         |
| 5c    | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or |                 |                    |         |
|       | rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 36)   | Topsoil not     | Topsoil not        |         |
|       |  | available       | available          |         |
| 5d    | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated   | Reclaimed &     | Reclaimed &        |         |
|       |  | Rehabilitated   | Rehabilitated      |         |
|       |  | not proposed    | not available      |         |
| 5e    | General remarks of inspecting officer on     | Area not mature | d for backfilling. |         |
|       | backfilling, reclamation etc                 |                 |                    |         |
| 6 0 P | rogressive Mine Closer Plan                  |                 |                    |         |

#### 6.0 Progressive Mine Closer Plan

| S.N | Item   | Proposals                                    | Actual Work                         | Remarks   |
|-----|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| 6a  | Whether Annual report on PMCP<br>submitted on time and correctly-Rule 26(2)<br>of MCDR-2017. Details should be given in<br>the format as given in Annexure-20  | For 2018-<br>19 PMCP<br>proposed             | PMCP compliance report<br>submitted | Afforestat<br>ion as per<br>area<br>availibilit<br>y<br>mentione<br>d |
| 6b  | Management of worked/mined out benches<br>i) Area available for rehabilitation<br>(Ha)<br>ii) Afforestation done (ha)<br>iii) No.of saplings planted during the<br>year<br>iv) Cumulative no.of plants<br>v) Any other specific method<br>ofrehabilitation<br>vi) Cost incurred on watch & care<br>during the year | Not<br>proposed<br>within<br>Mining<br>Lease | Nil                                 |   |
| 6c  | Compliance on reclamation and<br>rehabilitation by backfilling<br>i) Voids available for backfilling<br>(L*B*D)<br>ii) Void filled by waste/tailings<br>iii) Afforestation on the backfilling<br>iv) Rehabilitation by making water<br>reservoir<br>v) Any other specific means                                    | As per<br>PMCP                               | PMCP report submitted               |   |
| 6d  | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land<br>within lease<br>i) Afforestation<br>ii) Area rehabilitation<br>iii) Method of rehabilitation<br>iv) Any other specific means   | As per<br>PMCP                               | PMCP report submitted               |   |

| 6e | Compliance of Environment monitoring     | As per | Complied |  |
|----|--|--------|----------|--|
|    | (core zone & buffer zone)                | PMCP   |          |  |
| 6f | General remarks of inspecting officer on | Nil    |          |  |
|    | PMCP compliance & progressive closer     |        |          |  |
|    | operations                               |        |          |  |

#### 7.0 Mineral Conservation

| S.N. | Item  | Proposals                   | Actual Work    | Remarks      |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| 7a   | ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise          | Above 55 Fe%                | Above 55 Fe%   |              |
|      | sorting within lease area                   |                             |                |              |
| 7b   | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting        | mechanical                  | mechanical     |              |
|      | i.e. manual or mechanical                   |                             |                |              |
| 7c   | Different grade of mineral sort out at mine | Below 55                    | Below 55       | As per       |
|      |   | Fe%,                        | Fe%,           | customer     |
|      |   | 55 to 58 Fe%, 55 to 58 Fe%, |                | requirement. |
|      |   | 58 to 60 Fe%, 58 to 60 Fe%, |                |              |
|      |   | 60 to 62 Fe%,               | 60 to 62 Fe%,  |              |
|      |   | 62 to 65 Fe%                | 62 to 65 Fe%   |              |
|      |   | 65%and                      | 65%and         |              |
|      |   | Above                       | Above          |              |
| 7d   | Any beneficiation process at mines          | Crushing and                | Crushing and   |              |
|      |   | Screening                   | Screening      |              |
| 7e   | General remarks of inspecting officer on    | No such ben                 | t crushing and |              |
|      | Mineral conservation & beneficiation        | screening.                  |                |              |
|      | issues                                      |                             |                |              |

## 8.0 Environment

| S.N. | Item  | Proposals  | Actual Work     | Remarks              |  |
|------|---|--|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| 8a   | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil | Top soil not                                     | Top soil not    | No top soil<br>exist |  |
|      | (Rule32)                                    | available  | available       |                      |  |
| 8b   | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil        | Top soil not                                     | Top soil not    | No top soil          |  |
|      |   | available  | available       | exist                |  |
| 8c   | Separate dumps for overburden waste rock,   | NIL  | NIL             |                      |  |
|      | rejects and fines (Rule 33)                 |  |                 |                      |  |
| 8d   | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and  | Not Proposed                                     | NA              |                      |  |
|      | fines dumps for restoring the land to its   |  |                 |                      |  |
|      | original use                                |  |                 |                      |  |
| 8e   | Phased restoration, reclamation and         | Not Proposed                                     | NA              |                      |  |
|      | rehabilitation of lands affected by mining  | as no voids                                      |                 |                      |  |
|      | operations (Pits, dumps etc)                | available for                                    |                 |                      |  |
| 0.0  |   | R&R  | <b>G G</b> (    |                      |  |
| 8f   | Baseline information on existence of        | Not proposed                                     | Safety zone     |                      |  |
|      | plantation & additional plantation done     |  | area.           |                      |  |
| 0 -  | (Rule 44 of MCDR 2017)                      | 100.0/   | 95.0/           |                      |  |
| 8g   | Survival rate                               | 100 %  | 85 %            |                      |  |
| 8h   | Water sprinkling on roads to control        | As per   | Water           |                      |  |
|      | airborne dust                               | proposal   | sprinkling is   |                      |  |
|      |   |  | done on haul    |                      |  |
|      |   |  | road to control |                      |  |
|      |   |  | the air borne   |                      |  |
|      |   |  | dust, Wet       |                      |  |
|      |   |  | Drilling, Water |                      |  |
|      |   |  | mist spray at   |                      |  |
|      |   |  | crusher.        |                      |  |
| 8i   | General remarks of inspecting officer on    | No adverse impact observed during mine visit due |                 |                      |  |

# aesthetic beauty in and around mine areato mining on aesthetic beauty.9.0 Compliance of Rule 45

| S.N | Item  | Comments  | Remarks                     |                            |
|-----|---|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 9a  | Status of submission of monthly and<br>Annual returns   | Monthly Return Form F1<br>September-2019 submitted<br>Return for the year 2018-19                                     |                             |                            |
| S.N | Item  | Details given in A.R.   | Observation<br>of I/Officer | Remarks                    |
| 9b  | Scrutiny of Annual return for<br>information on Mining Engineer,<br>Geologist and Manager           | MiningEngineer:<br>Shri Randhir<br>Kumar,<br>Geologist:<br>Srikanth Basani<br>Mines Manager:<br>Vinay Kumar           |                             |                            |
| 9c  | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use<br>pattern for area under pits, reclaimed<br>area, dumps etc. | Mining:80.250<br>Infrastructure:159.377Ha   |                             |                            |
| 9d  | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation  | Total area is forest area   |                             |                            |
| 9e  | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity)                           | NIL   |                             |                            |
| 9f  | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore   | ROM stock Closing<br>Balance:128993Tonne  |                             |                            |
| 9g  | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value,<br>Ex.Mine price & production costs                        | Ex-Mine price: Lump<br>65% and above-3869.01<br>Fines :62% to below 65-<br>3235.90,<br>Production Cost:1202.91<br>PMT |                             |                            |
| 9h  | Scrutiny of Annual return on Fixed assets   | Rs.5,43,07,43,282/-   | Rs.5,43,07,4<br>3,282/-     | For<br>kirandul<br>complex |
| 9i  | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining Machineries   | Given in Annual Return<br>Form-H1   |                             |                            |

10. Details of Validations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out:- The earlier inspection is broadly complied and during present inspection few deviation is observed in protective measures in dump and working but the same is incorporated in Review of Mining Plan for which inspection was done.

#### (Rajesh Kumar Das, Senior Assistant Controller of Mines)